UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,601	01/18/2002	Frederic Robert	EGYP 3.0-019	3014	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7590 01/08/200° VID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER			
KRUMHOLZ &	•		BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS		
WESTFIELD, 1			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/052,601	ROBERT, FREDERIC	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey T. Barton	1753	

	Cyamine	AILUIIIL				
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 20 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	•	·				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	•		•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original reply than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 18 December 2006. A	brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41	1.37 must be filed with	hin two months			
of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•	•	ecause			
<ul> <li>(a)          \( \sum_{\text{in}} \) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b)          \( \sum_{\text{in}} \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ul>	•	IE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducina or simplifyina	the issues for			
appeal; and/or	·					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		for the file of the same of the same				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,8-25 and 30-32</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	at before or on the date of filing a Ne	otice of Appeal will be	at he entered			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:						
·						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The composition of the Markush group presented in claims 12 and 30 has been altered in a way that has not been presented in any prior version of the claims.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700